MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Division of Transportation Development 4201 East Arkansas Avenue Denver, Colorado 80222 (303) 757-9011



DATE: February 4, 2014

- TO: Transportation Commission
- **FROM:** Debra Perkins-Smith, Director, Division of Transportation Development Scott Richrath, Chief Financial Officer, Division of Accounting and Finance

SUBJECT: Program Distribution for the Statewide Plan (SWP) and the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

<u>Purpose:</u> Based on input from the January Commission discussion on Program Distribution, review final Program Distribution for adoption and use in SWP and STIP development.

Action Requested: Adoption of Program Distribution for 2016-2040

<u>Executive Summary</u>: Based on Commission workshop discussions in November, December, and January, staff has assigned funds in Program Distribution to various programs in support of Policy Directive 14 asset management performance objectives and based on specific policy direction provided and summarized below:

Policy Direction:

- 1. Direct funds made available from Transbond debt service retirement to Asset Management.
- 2. Fund to estimated levels needed to meet PD 14 asset management objectives for Maintenance, Surface Treatment, and Bridge while maintaining other programs at their FY 15 baseline level.
- 3. In years where there is a positive variance, assign it to TC Contingency in order to provide for flexibility to meet future needs (TC contingency target level has been approximately five percent –this has been achieved by combining the programmed amount plus roll forward from previous years).
- 4. Focus on the first 10 years of the forecast because beyond that time there is a higher degree of uncertainty about revenues.

Attachment A is a spreadsheet illustrating the 2016-2040 Program Distribution assignments based on the adopted revenue projection. The years 2016-2025 are displayed year by year and the years 2026-2040 are displayed as a total.

Attachment B is a resolution for the adoption of the 2016-2040 Program Distribution.

Background:

Information on state and federal requirements for the Statewide Transportation Plan was included in the December packet to Commission along with the explanation of the assumptions in the FY 15 baseline revenue scenario (SWP committee pages 1-31).

Program Distribution is a part of the Statewide Transportation Plan and outlines the assignment of projected revenues to various program areas for the time period of the Plan (FY 2016-FY 2040). Program Distribution also provides a baseline for the MPOs and Regions to use for development of the next Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), which begins with FY 2016.

Program Distribution assignments illustrate for planning partners and the public the intended emphasis areas given projected revenue expectations. Program Distribution is for planning purposes only and does not represent a budget commitment by the Commission. Final numbers for each year will be a result of updated revenue estimates and annual budget adoption.

Revenues for Program Distribution are based on the Baseline Revenue Projection for the 2040 Statewide Transportation Plan adopted by the Commission on April 18, 2013. In the Baseline, federal revenues are projected to peak in 2025 and decline each year following. SB 228 revenue is projected in years 2016-2020. Since the April 2013 adoption, gas tax revenues have trended slightly downward and motor vehicle registrations have trended slightly upward.

The Program Distribution spreadsheet reflects the year by year allocations for 2016-2025 and a total allocation for 2026-2040.

Observations for the first ten years include:

- 1. Funds are sufficient to fund estimated levels needed for the asset management performance objectives for Maintenance, Surface Treatment and Structures. Other asset management programs, as well as "Other TC Directed" programs, are held at FY 15 budget levels with no increases.
- 2. Any positive revenue variance is assigned to TC contingency. In years 2018-2024 some contingency funds would be available for assignment to program needs based on the assumption that the forecast amount plus contingency roll forward from previous years is more than sufficient to meet the five percent contingency target.
- 3. Allocations to "restricted programs" are based on the adopted revenue projection and the anticipated revenue level for each program.
- 4. RAMP asset management funds supplement Surface Treatment and Structures in FY 2016 and 2017 thereby allowing for lower Program Distribution allocations in those years.

Observations for the years 2026-2040 include:

- 1. Funds are no longer sufficient to meet estimated levels needed to support the asset management objectives for Maintenance, Surface Treatment and Structures.
- 2. Other asset management programs and 'other TC restricted" programs remain at FY 15 budget levels with no increases.
- 3. Revenues are forecast to be fairly flat in the latter years. This along with the decline in purchasing power will result in a noticeable effect on programs.

<u>Next Steps:</u> Adopt Program Distribution for use in the Statewide Transportation Plan. DTD and OFMB will prepare a summary of the distribution formulas for applicable programs for review in March. DTD and OFMB will develop MPO distribution tables for planning purposes per federal requirements and region distributions for formula programs to aid in TPR plan development.